



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,210	10/24/2005	Denis Paquette	6461-04	8260
58388	7590	03/17/2010	EXAMINER	
GOWAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			BRADFORD, CANDACE L	
1075 NORTH SERVICE ROAD WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 203			3634	
OAKVILLE, ON L6M-2G2			MAIL DATE	
CANADA			03/17/2010	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/554,210	Applicant(s) PAQUETTE, DENIS
	Examiner CANDACE L. BRADFORD	Art Unit 3634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2010.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 3-8,12-15,17 and 18 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 3-8,12-15,17 and 18 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

The request filed on 2/3/10 for a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. Any previous finality is hereby withdrawn and a new action on the merits follows. Any newly-submitted claims have been added. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102


The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et. al. (6173809). Cole et. al. discloses a base rod 52,82 having a fixed C-shaped or V-shaped attachment bracket 35 attached to said base rod, a threaded locking rod 62 which is essentially parallel to said base rod, and which is operatively connected to a moveable C-shaped or V-shaped attachment bracket 64, and a crank 56 connected to one end of said locking rod, so that turning of the crank results in relative movement of said moveable attachment bracket towards, or away from, said fixed attachment bracket, and thereby grasp or release an I-beam frame member 12, within said C-shaped or V-shaped attachment brackets and thus, temporarily affix said base rod to a frame member at least one opening in said base rod acting as a first mounting device

22, for receiving and releaseably attaching at least one of a variety of accessories to said base rod, wherein said accessories are removable, interchangeable devices which can be added or removed from said first mounting device, and which accessory is attached to said first mounting device using a lock pin 20b, at least one attachment clip 18b, attached to said base rod to which a rope or cable 14b can be connected; and a plurality of accessories each having a second mounting device adapted to be inserted into said opening of said first mounting attachment device, wherein said accessories are removable, interchangeable devices which can be added or removed from the first



mounting device.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et. al. (6173809). Cole et. al. discloses comprises two releasable mounting devices 18a, 18b,

for receiving and attaching at least one of a variety of accessories 14b, to said base rod, as best seen in Figure 5.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et. al. (6173809). Cole et. al. discloses a safety restraint device as claimed in Claim 17 wherein said accessory is a winch assembly, a ladder, a light, a sign, a radio, a handrail 14b, a platform, or a suspended platform

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et. al. (6173809). Cole et. al. disclose an accessory, for use with a safety restraint device as claimed in Claim 17 comprising a winch assembly, a ladder, a light, a sign, a radio, a Handrail 14b, a platform, or a suspended platform.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et. al. (6173809) in view of Paterson (6036146). Cole et. al. as advanced above fails to disclose a winch assembly. Paterson teaches the utility of an accessory 19, comprises a winch assembly having a winch 37, a static line 11, operatively connected to the winch; and a mounting attachment for attaching said winch accessory to said releasable mounting device. The use of a winch assembly is commonly used in the art to tighten

the connection of the rods. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the safety system of Cole et. al. with a winch assembly as taught by Paterson so as to tighten the connection of the rods.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et. al. (6173809) in view of Paterson (6036146). Paterson further discloses a safety restraint device as claimed in Claim 3 wherein said winch comprises a locking mechanism 49, so that the static line 11, can be drawn tight using said winch 37, and maintained in a tightened condition, as best seen in Figure 1.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et. al. (6173809) in view of Paterson (6036146). Paterson further discloses a safety restraint device as claimed in Claim 4 wherein said locking mechanism 49, is a ratchet assembly, as best seen in Figure 2

Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et. al. (6173809) in view of Paterson (6036146). Cole et. al. in view of Paterson fails to disclose a safety restraint device as claimed in Claim 17 wherein said accessory comprises 2 or 3 winch assemblies. It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. It is well known in the art that the number of winches required depends upon the number of base rods in the assembly.

Claims 13, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et. al. (6173809) in view of Paterson (6036146). Paterson further discloses an accessory as claimed in Claim 12 comprising a winch assembly, having a winch 37, a

static line 11, operatively connected to said winch, and having a mounting attachment for attaching said accessory to said safety restraint device

It is further obvious in view of the structure as advanced above to use the safety restraint system as claimed i.e., separately attaching a first safety restraint device to a first vertical frame member, mounting a winch assembly, extending a static line, turning a winch, and locking the static line, while producing no new and unexpected result.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CANDACE L. BRADFORD whose telephone number is (571)272-8967. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am until 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHERINE w MITCHELL/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3634

/Candace L. Bradford/
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3634
March 11, 2010